

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,562	(08/28/2003	Luis C. Contreras	Contreras #5	7598	
21035	7590	10/07/2005		EXAMINER		
GORDON I			DIXON, MERRICK L			
14632 PACI TUSTIN, CA		EI.		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				1774		

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			١
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	10/650,562	CONTRERAS ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Merrick Dixon	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	ulv 2005.		
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon			
Disposition of Claims			
 4)	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	`. <i>`</i>	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicate in the second	ion No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
	MERRICK PRIMARY EX	-	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/650,562 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4,6-8,10,11,13-19;27 and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over UK Patent('469) in view of Feltman (US 5827461) for reasons as set forth in the previous office action, inter alla..
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 7-12-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the cited references fails to teach preheated the filament through a die device. The examiner disagrees and directs applicants to col 4, lines 39-52 of Feltman. Applicants further argue that the Feltman reference fails to teaching coating its wings. The examiner again disagrees and direct applicants to col 8, lines 31-34 of the reference. This coating is integral contrary to applicants' arguments. Applicants argue the dead fold angle be not greater than 10 and the reference fails to teach same. The cited reference to Feltman teaches this aspect in col 10, lines 38-41; col 11, lines 51-53. Applicant further argue that the prior art wing thickness is thicker than the claimed invention. The examiner disagrees as the Feltman reference teaches various diameters throughout the reference It is submitted the claimed dimensions, a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art- In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215(CCPA 1980).
- 4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1774

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 571-273-8300.

Information about the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Information Retrieval system (Private PAIR).

Art Unit: 1774

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR** or **Public PAIR**. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at **866-217-9197**.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern time. The examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Mul (5)

Group 1700